EXCEPTION

KRISTIN K. MAYES

GARY PIERCE

PAUL NEWMAN

BOB STUMP

CHAIRMAN

SANDRA D. KENNEDY

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

ORIGINAL



OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COM.. RECEIVED

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2010 SEP -2 A 8: 48

CORP COMPASSION DOCKET CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF **UNS FOR** THE ELECTRIC. INC. JUST **ESTABLISHMENT** OF AND REASONABLE RATES AND CHARGES DESIGNED TO REALIZE A REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE OF THE PROPERTIES OF UNS ELECTRIC. INC. DEVOTED TO ITS OPERATIONS THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA.

Docket No. E-04204A-09-0206

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

SEP - 2 2010



EXCEPTIONS OF THE RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE

The Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") makes the following Exceptions to the Recommended Opinion and Order ("ROO") on UNS Electric, Inc.'s ("UNSE" or "Company") application for a rate increase.

Commission should reject recovery of a pay increase two years beyond the test year.

For the most part, RUCO believes that the ROO is well balanced and well reasoned and supports the approval of the ROO. RUCO's only exception concerns the ROO's recommendation to allow the Company recovery of the Company's 2010 wage increase. ROO at 22-23. RUCO respectfully requests the Commission deny recovery of a company-wide pay raise in 2010 for two reasons. First, the pay raise is two years beyond the test year. Second,

6

4

13

15 16

17

19

18

20 21

22

24

23

on balance, it is inequitable for the ratepayers to shoulder year-after-year automatic pay raises in these highly distressed economic conditions.

The test year ended December 31, 2008. RUCO does not oppose recovery of any wage increase made during the 2008 test year. Nor does RUCO oppose the post-test-year wage increase for 2009. RUCO, however, respectfully recommends the Commission reject the Company's proposal to recover the 2010 post-test-year pay increase. The 2010 pay increase went into effect over one year beyond the test year, and had not even gone into effect at the time the Company filed its application. While RUCO accepted a 2009 post-test-year pay raise, a subsequent, automatic 2010 pay raise is too far beyond the 2008 test year.

The point is even further compounded by the dire state of the current economy. For those ratepayers that even have a job (and with Arizona's current unemployment hovering near 10 percent), allowing rates to increase to account for a third consecutive company-wide raise is simply inappropriate.

The ROO notes that its recommendation to allow a 2010 pay raise in this case is consistent with previous Commission decisions. RUCO does not disagree. But the economic climate is changing for the worse and the Commission cannot ignore the economic realities that ratepayers face. With dim prospects of an economic recovery in the near future, the Commission has every right to deviate from past decisions and reject the Company's request to increase rates to cover a 2010 pay raise.

As former Commissioner Bill Mundell often said "The Commissioners are not just bean counters." Ratepayers are facing very difficult economic times, and the Commission needs to cut any excess expense. The Commission should reject the 2010 raise.

RUCO's proposed amendment is attached as Exhibit 1.

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2 nd day of September, 2010.	
2		
3		
4		Daniel W. Pozefsky Chief Counsel
5	AN ORIGINAL AND THIRTEEN COPIES	Offici Godingoi
6	of the foregoing filed this 2 nd day of September, 2010 with:	
7	Docket Control	
8	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington	
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
10	COPIES of the foregoing hand delivered/ mailed this 2 nd day of September, 2010 to:	
11	Jane L. Rodda	Philip J. Dion
12	Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division	Unisource Energy Corporation One South Church Avenue
13 14	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007	Suite 100 Tucson, AZ 85701
15	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel	Timothy Hogan AZ Center for Law in the Public Interest
16	Maureen Scott Charles Hains Legal Division	202 E. McDowell Road, Suite 153 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
17	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington	
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	\mathcal{L}
19	Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division	By Snestine / Jamble Ernestine Gamble
20	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington	Secretary to Daniel Pozefsky
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
22	Michael W. Patten Roshka, DeWulf & Paten PLC	
23	One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren, Suite 800	
24	Phoenix, AZ 85004	

UNS Electric, Inc. E-04204A-09-0206

Proposed RUCO Amendment No. 1 Payroll Expense

Page 23, DELETE lines 7-14.

INSERT:

We find that the 2010 wage increase should not be recognized in rates approved in this proceeding. While we have allowed for the recovery of post-test-year wage increases in the past, we recognize that the state of the current economy continues to remain dire, and that it would no longer be appropriate to allow recovery of post-test-year wage increases that go into effect more than one year beyond the test year. Accordingly, we adopt RUCO's recommendation to adjust payroll expense by \$79,628 and payroll tax expense by \$35,430.

Make all conforming changes.